

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/896,375	06/29/2001	Richard J.H. Wilson	STEINER 00.01	9550
5	7590 07/30/2003	•		
HAYES, SOLOWAY, HENNESSEY,			EXAMINER	
130 W. Cushin			SHERRER, CURTIS EDWARD	
Tucson, AZ 85701			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1761	17
			DATE MAILED: 07/30/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· ·		A S			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/896,375	WILSON ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Curtis E. Sherrer	1761 ·			
The MAILING DATE of this communicate Period for Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondenc address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) da - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may ation. 195, a reply within the statutory minimum of try period will apply and will expire SIX (6) Min by statute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>05/08/03</u> .				
2a)☐ This action is FINAL. 2b)					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the app	olication.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-16 and 23-2</u>	27 is/are withdrawn from consid	eration.			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	-				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>17-22</u> is/are rejected.					
7)☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.		*			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	n and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.	•			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner.	•			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	foreign priority under 35 U.S.C	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)□ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority doc	cuments have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority doc	cuments have been received in	Application No			
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internation * See the attached detailed Office action for the action	onal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))).			
14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for d	•				
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign languants)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a	age provisional application has	been received.			
Attachment(s)	• •				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper	948) 5)	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)	ffice Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 13			

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

Claims 1-16 and 23-27 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected inventions, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in Paper No. 12. (It is noted that Group IV is directed to claims 17-22 **not** 17-25.)

Applicant's election with traverse of the restriction in Paper No. 12 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the dependent claims, rather than the independent claims, were addressed with regard to separate inventions. This is not found persuasive because to the fact that the specification provides no mention of using the invention in the production of toothpaste, its use in toothpaste is nonetheless well known. It is not clear, as applicants point out, which limitations are being ignored. With regard to the claimed concentrations, applicants ignore that both claims 26 and 27 are limited to using at least about 40% by weight of the alpha acids (see claim 23 from which they depend). As for the differentiation between a metal hydroxide and a metal salt that does not include a hydroxide, it is not seen, no matter how they are defined, how this makes the claims nonrestrictable.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/896,375

Art Unit: 1761

Claims 18, 20 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention

Claim 22 is indefinite because the scope of the term "about" is unknown.

Claim 18 is indefinite because the scope of the terms "substantially" and "slightly" is unknown.

Claim 20 is indefinite because the scope of the phrase "room temperature" is unknown.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 17-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Maye *et al.* (U.S. Pat. No. 5,583,262)("Maye").

Maye teaches the production of beers using rho-isoalpha acids. The acids can be comprised of potassium, sodium, calcium or magnesium. (See claim 14 of patent). Examples 5-8 teach the specific examples of mixing aqueous alkaline solutions of about 35-40% rho-isoalpha acids with 6M aqueous solutions of metal salts at 65 C with stirring. Also see col. 5, lines 16-31. The resulting mixture has a pH of above 5. *Id.* The temperature of the final mixture would inherently be room temperature.

Art Unit: 1761

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Curtis E. Sherrer whose telephone number is 703-308-3847. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday, 8AM-6:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on 703-308-3959. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3602 for regular communications and 703-305-3602 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Curtis E. Sherrer Primary Examiner July 28, 2003